REMARKS

Claims 30-45 remain in the present application. Claims 1-29 are cancelled herein. Claims 30-45 are added herein. Applicants respectfully submit that no new matter has been added as a result of the claim amendments. Applicants respectfully requests further examination and reconsideration of the rejections based on the arguments set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 1-29

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent Number 5,923,323 to Chiu et al. (referred to herein as "Chiu"). Claims 1-29 are cancelled herein, and thus, Applicants respectfully submit that a discussion of the 35 U.S.C. §102(b) rejection of Claims 1-29 is moot.

Claims 30-45

Applicants respectfully direct the Examiner to independent Claim 30 which recites a method of processing data using a portable electronic device comprising (emphasis added):

accessing first data comprising a web page; transcoding, using a processor of said portable electronic device, said first data to generate second data; and displaying, using a display device of said portable electronic device, said second data

PALM-3785 Application No. 10/047,213 Page 6

Examiner: Patel, N. Group Art Unit: 2629 Independent Claim 38 recites elements similar to independent Claim 30. Claims 31-37 and 39-45 depend from their respective independent Claims and recite further elements of the claimed invention.

Applicants respectfully submits that Chiu fails to teach or suggest the elements of "transcoding, using a processor of said portable electronic device, said first data to generate second data" as recited in independent Claim 30. As described in the present application, first data is transcoded to generate second data. The first data is transcoded using a processor of a portable electronic device.

In contrast to the claimed embodiments, Applicants fail to find any teaching or suggestion in Chiu of transcoding as claimed. Further, Applicants fail to find any teaching or suggestion in Chiu of transcoding using a processor of a portable electronic device as claimed. Accordingly, Applicants reiterate that Chiu fails to teach or suggest the elements of "transcoding, using a processor of said portable electronic device, said first data to generate second data" as recited in independent Claim 30.

For these reasons, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 30 is neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by Chiu. Since independent Claim 38 recites elements similar to those discussed above with respect to independent Claim 30, Applicants respectfully submit that independent Claim 38 is also neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by Chiu. Since Claims 31-37 and 39-45

PALM-3785 Application No. 10/047,213 Page 7

Examiner: Patel, N. Group Art Unit: 2629

recite further elements of the invention claimed in their respective independent Claims, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 31-37 and 39-45 are also neither anticipated nor rendered obvious by Chiu. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 30-45 are allowable.

Examiner: Patel, N.

Group Art Unit: 2629

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully submit that Claims 30-45 are in condition for allowance and Applicants earnestly solicit such action from the Examiner.

The Examiner is urged to contact Applicants' undersigned representative if the Examiner believes such action would expedite resolution of the present application.

Please charge any additional fees or apply any credits to our PTO deposit account number: 50-4160.

Respectfully submitted,

MURABITO, HAO & BARNES LLP

Dated: ___12 / 11 / 2009 ____/BMF/

Bryan M. Failing Registration No. 57,974

Two North Market Street Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113 (408) 938-9060

Examiner: Patel, N.

Group Art Unit: 2629